



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,055	12/20/2001	Ralph H. Johnson	V637-01520 US	8713
128	7590	12/18/2003	EXAMINER	
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. 101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/026,055	JOHNSON, RALPH H.
	Examiner Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	Art Unit 2828 <i>MH</i>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/07/03.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

PAUL J.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____ .
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-28 and 45-59 of copending Application No. 10/026020, claims 1-59 of copending Application No. 10/026044.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application

Art Unit: 2828

since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claims 1, 3 and 5 of Application No. 10/026055 recite a VCSEL comprising an active region further comprising at least one quantum well having a well depth of at least 40 meV and comprised of InGaAs and further including GaAs barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well and GaAs confinement layers. Further claim 3 recites an AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region. Furthermore claim 5 recites AlGaAs barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well. The limitations in the claims of this application is basically the same as the limitations in the claims 1, 45 and 53 of the copending application 10/026020.

Claims 1, 45 and 53 of copending application number 10/026020 recites a VCSEL comprising at least one quantum well having a well depth of at least 40 meV and comprised of InGaAsSb. The claims recite alternative substitution elements such as Al, In, N, Sb, with the basic material GaAs. Therefore claims 1-6 and claims 1-28 and 45-59 of copending Application No. 10/026020 are considered as the “same invention”. Furthermore, the substituted elements are disclosed in each application specification and title of the invention. Thus, the claims are not patentable distinct from each other.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 of Application No. 10/026055 recite a VCSEL comprising at least one quantum well having a depth of at least 40 meV and comprised of InGaAs; GaAs barrier layers; GaAs confinement layers. Further claim 3 recite an AlGaAs confinement layers and claim 5 recites AlGaAs barrier layers. The limitations in the claims of this application is basically the same as the limitations in the claim 1, 37 and 48 of the copending application 10/026044.

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/026044 recites a VCSEL comprising at least one quantum well having a depth of at least 40 meV and comprised of GaAsSb. Further claims 37 and 48 recite GaAsSb. Furthermore claim 48 recites AlGaAs confinement layers. The claims recite alternative substitution elements such as Al, In, N, Sb, with the basic material GaAs. Therefore claims 1-6 and claims 1-16 and 19-59 of copending Application No. 10/026044 are considered as the "same invention". Furthermore, the substituted elements are disclosed in each application specification and title of the invention. Thus, the claims are not patentable distinct from each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jewell et al (US6359920) in view of Ishikawa (US5841152).

With respect to claims 1-6, Jewell et al disclose a VCSEL (100) comprising an active region (110) further comprising at least one quantum well (126, 128) of InGaAs having a depth of 40meV (Fig.2b) and further including GaAs barrier layer (54') sandwiching said at least one quantum well and GaAs confinement layer (70') sandwiching said active region and nitrogen to be used at least 1% in group V of semiconductor material in the active region. Jewell also

Art Unit: 2828

disclose alternative substitution elements such as In, Al, N, Sb with the basic material GaAs and the quantum well is up to and including 50 , note col. 5 line 24 to col. 37 line 18, see figures 1-11. However, Jewell do not disclose the quantum well depth is defined as the difference between a valence band offset and a conduction band offset. Ishikawa teaches the quantum well depth is defined as the difference between a valence band offset and a conduction band offset (col.5, 1.13-15). For the benefit of calculating the quantum well depth, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Jewell the quantum well depth is defined as the difference between a valence band offset and a conduction band offset as taught by Ishikawa.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung


PAUL IP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800